# MINIMAL UNIVERSAL COVERS IN  $E^n$

#### **BY**

## H. G. EGGLESTON\*

### ABSTRACT

It is shown that any plane set of constant unit width contains a semi-circle of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and using this a minimal univeral plane cover is explicitly constructed. It is also shown that in an n-dimensional space with  $n>2$  there are minimal universal covers of arbitrary large diameter.

**Introduction.** We shall consider subsets of real *n*-dimensional Euclidean space  $E<sup>n</sup>$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{K}_n$  that class of subsets of  $E<sup>n</sup>$  which have a width in every direction equal to 1. If two subsets X and Y of  $E<sup>n</sup>$  are congruent we write  $X \sim Y$ .

A subset C of E" is called a *universal cover* if it is closed, convex and such that for every subset A of  $E<sup>n</sup>$  whose diameter is less than or equal to 1 we can find a subset B of C such that  $B \sim A$ . Since every such set A is contained in a member of  $\mathcal{K}_n$ , it is sufficient in proving that a set C is a universal cover to vertify that the congruent subset  $B$  of  $C$  can be found corresponding to every set  $A$  that belongs to  $\mathscr{K}_n$ .

By a *minimal* universal cover is meant a universal cover of which no proper subset is also a universal cover.

In the plane the diameter of any minimal cover is less than 3 and the question has been asked (by V. Klee, see  $[2]$ ) as to whether there is a finite upper bound of the diameters of compact minimal universal covers in  $E<sup>n</sup>$ , depending possibly on  $n$ . We show that this is not the case by proving that for any given positive number K and any integer n with  $n \ge 3$ , there is a compact minimal universal cover in  $E<sup>n</sup>$  whose diameter is greater than  $K$ .

We first prove that a certain plane set is a minimal universal plane cover by means of a lemma, which incidentally also shows that any set in  $\mathcal{K}_2$  contains a semicircle of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$  (see [1]).

§I. An **explicit example of a plane minimal universal cover.** In this section it is shown that a certain set ¥, defined explicitly, is a plane minimal universal cover. Y is the union of a disc and of a IReuleaux triangle, both of unit diameter, so

<sup>\*</sup> This paper was written while the author was a National Science Foundation Visiting Senior Fellow at the University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, U.S.A.

Received Septembei 12, 1963

placed that two of the vertices of the triangle are diametrically opposite points on the disc. See Figure 1.



Figure 1

If Y is a universal cover at all it must be a minimal one: indeed no proper subset of Y can contain both a disc and a Reuleaux triangle each of unit diameter. We shall deduce that Y is a universal cover from the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. If Z is a plane set of unit constant width then there are two *points on the frontier of Z, say s,t, which are at unit distance apart and such that of the two semicircles of radius*  $\frac{1}{2}$  *that pass through both s and t, one at least, does not meet the interior of Z.* 

For if this lemma were true then, since every point of  $Z$  is distant at most 1 from both  $s$  and  $t$ , it would follow that  $Z$  lies in a figure bounded by a semicircle on *st* and (on the opposite side of st) two arcs of unit radius and centers s and t. This figure is congruent to Y. Thus  $Z$  is congruent to a subset of Y. But any set whose diameter does not exceed 1 is contained in a set of unit constant width. Hence Y is a universal cover. It remains to prove the lemma.

Proof of the lemma. By a standard approximation argument it is sufficient to establish the lemma when Z is a Reuleaux polygon and in what follows we consider this case only.

Two vertices of Z, say a, b, are said to be *opposite* if and only if they are at unit distance apart. In the frontier of  $Z$  lie two circular arcs whose centers are  $a$ and b. They lie on one and the same side of the line *ab;* we call this the *positive*  side and the other side will be called the *negative* side. The circumcircle of the part of Z on the negative side of *ab* will be denoted by  $\gamma_{ab}$ , its radius by  $r_{ab}$  $(\gamma_{ab}$  is a disc).

In any case  $r_{ab} \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and we wish to establish the existence of two opposite vertices  $a, b$ , for which  $r_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}$ . We assume that no such vertices exist and show that this leads to a contradiction.

Any two vertices *p,q* on the frontier of Z divide this frontier into two arcs,

Moreover, if  $p$  and  $q$  are not opposite, then one of these two arcs contains no points opposite to p or q. The vertices of Z on this arc other than p or q are said to lie *between p* and *q*. If p and *q* are opposite then by the vertices between p and *q* we mean those that lie on the negative side of the line *pq.* 

Since  $r_{pq} > \frac{1}{2}$ , there must, for any pair of opposite vertices *p*,*q*, be vertices between p and q which lie on  $\gamma_{pa}$ . Define the vertices v, w such that they lie on  $\gamma_{pa}$ and no other vertices between p and v or between q and w lie on  $\gamma_{pq}$ . Let there be  $f(p), g(q)$  vertices between p and v and between q and w respectively. Let  $h(pq) = min(f(p),g(q))$  and  $\tau = min_{p,q} h(pq)$ . Choose opposite vertices a,b so that  $\tau = h(ab)$  and suppose for definiteness that  $f(a) = h(ab)$ . Then let  $b_1$  be the vertex opposite a adjacent to b and  $a_1$  be the vertex opposite to  $b_1$  adjacent to a.

We consider two cases.

CASE (i)  $\tau = 0$ .

 $a_1$  lies on  $\gamma_{ab}$  (see Figure 2).  $b_1$  lies outside  $\gamma_{ab}$  and the line joining  $b_1$  to the center of  $\gamma_{ab}$  bisects internally the angle  $ab_1a_1$ . Hence  $\gamma_{ab}$  cuts the segment  $b_1a_1$ 



in two points  $a_1$  and c, and the center of  $\gamma_{ab}$  lies on the positive side of  $a_1b_1$ . Thus the part of  $\gamma_{ab}$  on the negative side of  $a_1b_1$ , apart from the point  $a_1$ , lies interior to  $\gamma_{a_1b_1}$ . But this means that no vertex of Z on the negative side of  $a_1b_1$ lies on  $\gamma_{a_1b_1}$ . This is impossible since it implies  $r_{a_1b_1} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

CASE (ii)  $\tau > 0$ .

Let c be the vertex of Z between a and b on  $\gamma_{ab}$  nearest to a (see Figure 3). Because of the extremal property of  $a, b$  and  $c$  and because  $\tau > 0$ ,  $b, c$  and all the vertices of Z between  $a_1$  and c must be interior points of  $\gamma_{a_1b_1}$ . Since *b,c* are interior to  $\gamma_{a_1b_1}$ , of the two parts of  $\gamma_{ab}$  on the two sides of the line *bc* one must



lie interior to  $\gamma_{a_1b_1}$ . Since  $a_1$  lies on the frontier of  $\gamma_{a_1b_1}$  it must be that part of  $\gamma_{ab}$ on the side of *bc* opposite to  $a_1$ . Thus all the vertices of Z between b and c are interior to  $\gamma_{a_1b_1}$ . Hence all the vertices of Z between  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are interior to  $\gamma_{a_1b_1}$ . Again this is impossible.

The lemma 1 is proved.

REMARK. The lemma also shows that any member of  $K_2$  contains a semicircle of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ . For if Z is a Reuleaux polygon and in the notation of the lemma  $\gamma_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}$  then all vertices of Z on the negative side of *ab* lie in  $\gamma_{ab}$  and the frontier of Z on the positive side of *ab* (which is formed from circular arcs of radius 1 with centers at these vertices) lies outside or on the frontier of  $\gamma_{ab}$ . Thus of the frontier of  $\gamma_{ab}$  one semicircle lies inside Z and the other lies outside Z where inside and outside are to be interpreted in the weak sense; i.e., frontier points of Z can lie in the frontier of  $\gamma_{ab}$ .

§2. A minimal **universal cover** in E\* **of large diameter.** By a *ball* is meant a closed solid sphere; for example, a set such as  $\{(x_1,..., x_n) | \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - k_i)^2 \leq r^2 \}$ where  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$  denote the coordinates of a point in  $E^n$ .

We need the following lemma.

**LEMMA.** 2. *There is a member W of*  $\mathcal{K}_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , such that the projection of *W* in any direction is not an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional ball.

Let  $B_0$  be the *n*-dimensional ball with center  $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$  and radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $B_i$ be the ball with radius 1 and center  $p_i$  where every coordinate of  $p_i$  is zero except the i<sup>th</sup>, and the i<sup>th</sup> coordinate is  $(7<sup>1</sup> - 1)/2<sup>3</sup>$ . Let U be the intersection of B<sub>0</sub> and all the  $B_i$  and let V be the union of U and all the points  $p_i$ . Let W be a member of  $\mathcal{K}_n$  such that  $W \supset V$ .

Let  $W_{\theta}$  be the projection of W in the direction  $\theta$ . By direct calculation it can be seen that there is a point f on the frontier of  $W_{\theta}$  which is the projection of a point of the frontier of W that lies interior to  $B_0$  and on the frontier of one of the  $B_i$ . But this means that the frontier of  $W_{\theta}$  in a sufficiently small neighborhood of f coincides with the frontier of an  $(n - 1)$  dimensional ball of radius 1. Thus  $W_a$ is not an  $(n - 1)$  dimensional of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $W_{\theta} \in \mathcal{K}_{n-1}$  it follows that  $W_{\theta}$  is not an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional ball.

COROLLARY. There is a member W of  $\mathcal{K}_n$  such that the greatest lower bound of the circumradii of projections of W in all directions is greater than  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

The proof is obvious by compactness arguments.

LEMMA 3. If  $Z \in K_2$  and every square circumscribing Z has its sides *bisected by the points of contact with Z then Z is a circle.* 

Let a, b be two points of Z distant 1 apart and such that the semicircle  $t_{ab}$ joining them lies in Z. Let the center of this semicircle be  $p$ , and let  $q$  be one of the points of Z most distant from p. The line through q perpendicular to *pq*  is a support line of Z: hence by the hypothesis the support lines of Z parallel to *pq*  touch the circle of which  $t_{ab}$  is a part. But then by hypothesis  $pq$  must be equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Hence Z is a circle.

Denote by Z that member of  $\mathcal{K}_n$  such that the greatest lower bound of the circumradii of projections of Z in all directions has the largest possible value. Let this value be R; then  $R > \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Construction of** a universal minimal cover. Let P be the infinite prism  $|x_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $i=1,2,...,n-1$ ,  $x_n \geq 0$ . P is a universal cover and our universal minimal cover will be a subset of  $P$ . Denote the projection of any set  $X$  in  $E<sup>n</sup>$  onto  $x_n = 0$  by  $X_0$ . Then if  $Y \in \mathcal{K}_n$  and  $Y \subset P$  we have  $Y_0 \in \mathcal{K}_{n-1}$  and  $Y_0 \subset P_0$  where  $P_0$ is the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional cube whose faces lie in the intersections of the hyperplanes  $x_i = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$ , with  $x_n = 0$ . Of the  $2^{n-1}$  faces of this cube denote that which lies in  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  by F. Y<sub>o</sub> meets F in precisely one point; denote this point by  $F(Y)$ .

Consider the class of sets  $\mathscr Y$  congruent to Y which lie in P and can be obtained from Y by combining a rotation or reflection which leaves the line  $x_1 = x_2 = ... = x_{n-1} = 0$  fixed, with a translation perpendicular to this line. Let  $F(\mathscr{Y})$  be the set of points formed by  $F(Y)$  for all  $Y \in \mathscr{Y}$ . Let  $F^*(\mathscr{Y})$  be the subset of the points of  $F(\mathscr{Y})$  that are most distant from the point  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ (i.e. the center of face F). Both  $F(\mathscr{Y})$  and  $F^*(\mathscr{Y})$  are closed non-void sets. If  $(\frac{1}{2}, x_2, x_3, ..., x_{n-1}, 0)$  belongs to  $F(\mathscr{Y})$  so do all the  $2^{n-2}$  points  $(\frac{1}{2}, \pm x_2, \pm x_3, \dots, \pm x_{n-1}, 0)$  and they are all the same distance from  $(\frac{1}{2},0,0,\ldots,0)$ . Thus there is a point, say  $(\frac{1}{2},x_2^*,x_3^*,\ldots,x_{n-1}^*,0)$ , of  $F^*(\mathscr{Y})$ for which  $x_i^* \ge 0$ . Select one such point and let Y\* be the set (or one of the sets) belonging to  $\mathcal{Y}^*$  such that  $F(Y^*)=(\frac{1}{2},x_2^*,x_3^*,...,x_{n-1}^*,0)$ . It follows from lemma 3 that  $Y^*$  is the point  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$  if and only if Y is a ball.

Next let g be a large positive number so that  $2(R - 1/2) \cdot g > K$  (K is the preassigned positive number, R the minimal circumradius of any projection of Z), and let  $P_a$  be the intersection of P with the cone

(1) 
$$
x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2 \leq \frac{(x_n + g)^2}{(2g + 1)^2 - 1}
$$

This cone intersects  $x_n = h$  in the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional ball with center  $(0, 0, \ldots, 0, h)$ and radius  $r_h = (h + g)/((2g + 1)^2 - 1)^{1/2}$ . Since this radius is large for large values of h it follows that  $P_q$  is a universal cover.

Also the set  $P_g$  contains the *n*-dimensional unit ball with center at  $x_n = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, ..., n-1$ . Denote this ball by  $B^*$ .

For each set Y of  $\mathcal{K}_n$  in P select Y\* as described above and translate Y\* parallel to the  $x_n$  axis until it lies inside  $P_q$  and, subject to this condition, is as close to  $x_n = 0$  as possible. Let the translated set be  $Y^{**}$ . Let Q be the union of all these sets and let S be the convex cover of the closure of  $Q$ .  $Q$  and (therefore) S are bounded. S is a subset of  $P_g$  that meets the hyperplane  $x_n = 0$  (since  $Q \supset B^*$ ) and S also meets the hyperplane  $x_n = K$ . For consider where  $Z^{**}$  can lie. If  $Z^{**}$ lies in  $x_n < K$  then  $R \le r_K$ , i.e.

$$
R \leq (K+g)/((2g+1)^2-1)^{1/2} ,
$$

which implies

$$
R \leq \frac{K+g}{2(g(g+1))^{1/2}} \leq \frac{K}{2g} + \frac{1}{2}.
$$

Thus  $2(R - \frac{1}{2})g \le K$ . But this contradicts our choice of g. Thus  $Z^{**}$  lies at least partly in  $x_n \ge K$ . Hence S lies partly in  $x_n \ge K$ , and the diameter of S is at least K.

S is a compact universal cover; let Tbe a subset of S that is a minimal compact universal cover. By the same argument as that above T contains points in  $x_n \geq K$ . Now the line L defined by  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x_2 = x_3 = ... = x_{n-1} = 0$  meets Q in the single point  $q = (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Moreover q is the only point of the closure of Q on L. For if this were not so let p in  $\overline{Q}$  lie on L,  $p \neq q$ . Then there exists a sequence of points  $p_j$  such that  $p_j \in Q$  and  $p_j \rightarrow q$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $p_j$  belongs to one of the sets of which Q is the union, say  $p_j \in Y_j^{**}$ . Now  $Y_j^{**}$  meets  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ in a single point, say  $y_i^*$ , and is moreover contained in an *n*-dimensional ball of radius 1 touching  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  at  $y_j^*$ . If the distance  $py_j^*$  is tan  $\theta_j$ , that of  $pp_j$  is greater than or equal to sec  $\theta_i - 1$ . Since  $p_j \to p$  as  $j \to \infty$  it follows that  $\theta_j \to 0$  as  $j \to \infty$ and thus  $y_i \to p$  as  $j \to \infty$ . Let the coordinates of  $y_j$  be  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_n)$ . Since p has its  $2^{nd}$ ,  $3^{rd}$ , ...,  $(n-1^{st})$  coordinates all zero this means that  $y_2^{(j)} \rightarrow 0, ..., y_{n-1}^{(j)} \rightarrow 0$ . Now a subsequence  $\{Y_{j_i}\}$  converges to a member, say W, of  $\mathcal{K}_n$  and  $F^*(W)$  is the single point  $(\frac{1}{2},0,0,\ldots,0)$ . By the remark concerning

Lemma 3,  $W$  must be such that all its two-dimensional projections are circles. Hence W is an *n*-dimensional ball, i.e.,  $W \sim B^*$ . Now  $Y_{i}^{**}$  is one of the sets of which Q is the union and thus  $Y_{i}^{**}$ can not be translated inside  $P_g$  parallel to the  $x_n$  axis so that it lies nearer to  $x_n = 0$ . Thus  $Y_{j_i}^{**}$  meets the surface of the cone (1). Hence so also does W. Thus in fact W is  $B^*$ . But if this is so,  $Y_{j_i}^{**}$ converges to  $B^*$ . Hence  $y_{ji}^{**}$  converges to q and p is q.

This contradiction establishes that the line L meets  $\overline{Q}$  in the single point  $q=(\frac{1}{2},0, ..., 0, \frac{1}{2}).$ 

Now S it the convex cover of  $\overline{Q}$  and  $\overline{Q}$  lies in the set  $x_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and if  $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $0 \le x_i \le \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $i = 2, ..., n-1$ , and it follows that S cannot meet the line L in any point other than  $q \cdot T$  is a universal cover and hence contains an *n*-dimensional ball of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

 $S \subset P$  and it follows that S meets the line L. Thus T contains  $B^*$ . Thus T contains the point  $(0, ..., 0)$ . Hence T has diameter at least equal to K.

This establishes the required result.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Besicovltch, A. S., 1963, On semicircles inscribed into sets of constant width. *Proe. Syrup. Pure Math.,* 7, (Convexity), 15-18.

2. Grünbaum, B., 1963, Borsuk's problem and related questions. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 7, (Convexity), 271-284.

BEDFORD COLLEGE, LONDON AND UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE